arXiv:1612.06327v1 [cond-mat.supr-con] 19 Dec 2016

Superconducting proximity in three dimensional Dirac materials: odd-frequency, psueudo-scalar, pseudo-vector and tensor-valued superconducting orders

Zahra Faraei[1,*] and S. A. Jafari[1,2,3,†]

[1]*Department of Physics, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran 11155-9161, Iran*
[2]*Center of excellence for Complex Systems and Condensed Matter (CSCM), Sharif University of Technology, Tehran 1458889694, Iran*
[3]*Theoretische Physik, Universität Duisburg-Essen, 47048 Duisburg, Germany*

A conventional s-wave superconductor in proximity to three dimensional Dirac material, to all orders in perturbation in tunneling induces a combination of s and p-wave pairing only. Lorentz invariance of the superconducting pairing prevents the formation of Cooper pairs with higher orbital angular momenta in the three dimensional Dirac material. This no-go theorem acquires stronger form when the probability of tunneling from the conventional superconductor to positive and negative energy states of three dimensional Dirac material are equal. In this case all the p-wave contribution except for the lowest order identically vanish and hence we obtain an exact result for the induced p-wave superconductivity in three dimensional Dirac materials. Fiertz decomposing the superconducting matrix we find that for a single cone Dirac material in principle, scalar, pseudo-scalar, time-like four-vector, pseudo-four-vector and tensorial superconducting order parameter can be induced. When the gap is zero, and the chemical potential is at the Dirac node only time-like component of the vector and pseudo-vector superconducting order along with a portion of tensorial superconducting order that is organized into an axial vector survive. For three dimensional Dirac materials with two Dirac cones, four-vector, tensor and pseudo-four-vector superconducting order can be induced. Fermion doubling renders the first two of the above superconducting orders into odd-frequency pairing.

PACS numbers: 74.45.+c, 74.20.Rp, 71.70.Ej, 03.65.Pm

I. INTRODUCTION.

Dirac equation combines relativistic and quantum aspects of the propagation of electron waves. The charge conjugation symmetry of this equation has lead to one of the landmark discoveries of the 20th century, namely the existence of anti-matter[1]. In condensed matter, the Dirac equation emerges as an *effective* low-energy description of the band structure of a class of materials[2], called "Dirac materials" ranging from graphene[3] and helical conducting states on the surface of topological insulators[4] in two dimensions to tilted Dirac systems in organic systems[5,6], and more recent example of three-dimensional Dirac materials (3DDM) such as Na_3Bi[7–9], Cd_3As_2[10–13] and the topologically trivial elemental Bismuth[14]. The necessary condition for a condensed matter system to allow for effective description in terms of three dimensional Dirac equation is rather general and one only requires small or vanishing gap together with strong spin-orbit interaction together with parity and time-reversal invariance[15,16] which is basically a condensed matter statement of the CPT theorem. When the 3+1 (space+time) dimensional version of Dirac equation comes into its mundane low-energy form in condensed matter systems, the velocity of light will be replaced by a velocity scale which is about 2-3 orders of magnitude smaller than the velocity of light. Breaking either parity (P) or time reversal (T) symmetry which maybe possible in some crystals, gives rise to the Weyl semimetals[17–19].

Dirac equation has rich structure in terms of its symmetries. In addition to the discrete symmetries of C, P, T, it is covariant under the Lorentz transformations. Once one has a three dimensional Dirac material (3DDM) at hand, the nice thing about such a condensed matter realization is that one can bring it close to other interesting ground states of condensed matter, such as the superconducting state, and study the interplay between the Dirac nature of the wave equation in 3DDM and the proximity induced superconductivity. The standard superconducting proximity tells us that when a conventional BCS superconductor is brought next to a normal metal, the only form of superconductivity that can be induced in the metallic state is conventional, spin-singlet, s-wave superconductivity. However, in this work we are going to show that when a conventional s-wave superconductor is brought next to a 3DDM, much more interesting possibilities can arise. First of all, the strong spin-orbit coupling encoded in the very nature of the Dirac equation in 3DDM allows to have spin-triplet superconductivity as well. This can be intuitively thought of a as a Cooper pair that tunnels into the 3DDM and one of the electrons may (or may not) flip its spin[20] due to strong spin-orbit in the 3DDM. This is in some sense the three dimensional generalization of the Fu-Kane proposal where the induced superconductivity into the two-dimensional Dirac cone at the surface of a topological insulator permits triplet pairing[21]. However in the present case we get much more than the two-dimensional case: The two (space) dimensional Dirac equation on the surface of topological insulators is expressed in terms of 2×2 matrices which leave no room for a γ^5 matrix, and therefore the superconducting order parameters do not have any chance of becoming a *pseudo*-scalar, or *pseudo*-vector in the sense of transforming like γ^5 or $\gamma^5\vec{\gamma}$. However in the case of three (space) dimensional Dirac equation which is expressed in terms of 4×4 matrices, there always exists a γ^5 matrix. Therefore the spin singlet Cooper pairs induced into the 3DDM can be either scalar or pseudo-scalar. Similarly the spin-triplet Cooper pairs induced into the 3DDM can be both vector or pseudo-vector. The *pesudo* character for an order parameter implies that it changes sign under mirror re-

flection and is therefore a Lorentz version of the odd-parity superconductivity.

In the case of 3DDM in addition to pseudo-scalar and pseudo-vector channels, there remains yet another exciting form of superconductivity which behaves as a tensor under the Lorentz transformation. This is a unique chance that becomes available only in 3DDM which emerges in low-energy effective theory where the point group symmetry is enlarged to a much larger group of Lorentz transformations. Therefore the 3DDM can be thought of as a unique platform that allows for unconventional superconducting pairings to be induced by simply placing it next to a widely available conventional BCS superconductor. What makes the supercondcuting proximity in 3DDM even more interesting is that the 3DDM come in two classes, one containing a single Dirac cone (s3DDM) and the other containing double Dirac cone (d3DDM)[22]. The so called fermion doubling in d3DDM alters the orbital symmetry in the tensor and four-vector channels and gives rise to odd-frequency pairing[23,24].

In this paper we employ a tunneling formulation and Green's function method to calculate the induced superconductivity in a 3DDM. We calculate the leading order induced 4×4 superconducting pairing from which we extract the scalar, vector, pseudo-scalar, pseudo-vector and tensor superconducting orders in each of the above channels. As for the spatial part of the Cooper pair wave we find that as long as no explicit spin flips are not included in the tunneling events, *to all orders in tunneling* the spatial part is either s-wave or p-wave. We will give an extensive comparison between 3DDMs containing a single or double Dirac cones[22]. We find that in s3DDM there are circumstances under which the perturbative treatment becomes exact.

The paper is organized as follows: In section II we lay down the formulation by reviewing the fundamental charge conjugation symmetry of the Dirac equation from which we construct the appropriate Nambu spinor by taking the fermion doubling into account which is appropriate for d3DDM. A similar formulation applies to s3DDM where one does not need a matrix operation to pick the Cooper pairs from alternative valleys. In section III starting with the most general possible form of the tunneling matrix, we calculate the Green's function in the Nambu space from which we extract the superconducting matrix. In section IV we classify the superconducting order in 3DDM with single or double Dirac cones in terms of their transformation properties under the Lorentz group. We demonstrate how the fermion doubling in d3DDM gives rise to odd-frequency pairing in four-vector and tensor channels.

II. THE DIRAC BOGOLIUBOV- DE GENNES EQUATION FOR 3DDM

The Dirac equation in 3DDM emerges as an effective theory under a rather general condition which basically requires a small gap and large spin-orbit interaction[15]. Naive discretization of 3+1 dimensional Dirac equation for crystals implies that the Dirac cones have to come in pairs[25]. However examination of the crystal symmetries revealed that depending on the representation of the parity operator, either a pair of Dirac cones exist that are separated along an axis of rotation (assumed to be z axis here), or a single Dirac cone appears at the center of the lattice Brillouine zone[22]. Let us start by the isotropic single-Dirac cone – the so called Wolff Hamiltonian which was historically derived by Wolff[26] for Bismuth – as a prototype of the 3DDM. We will then add the partner Dirac cone for systems such as Na_3Bi or Cd_3As_2. The isotropic Wolff Hamiltonian for 3DDM is,

$$H_{0D}(\vec{k}) = v \begin{bmatrix} mv & i\hbar\vec{k}.\vec{\sigma} \\ -i\hbar\vec{k}.\vec{\sigma} & -mv \end{bmatrix} \tag{1}$$

where v is the Fermi velocity that replaces the velocity of light in 3DDM, m sets the gap energy scale as $2mv^2$, the vector $\hbar\vec{k}$ is the momentum measured from the Dirac point and $\vec{\sigma}$ denotes three Pauli matrices. From this point we set $\hbar$ and v equal to 1 and will restore the constants whenever required. In order to represent this Hamiltonian by the standard Dirac Hamiltonian,

$$H_{0D}(\vec{k}) = \vec{k}.\vec{\alpha} + m\beta \tag{2}$$

we make the following choice for the γ^μ matrices[27]

$$\gamma^0 = \tau_3 \otimes \mathbb{1}, \quad \vec{\gamma} = \tau_1 \otimes i\vec{\sigma} \tag{3}$$

in terms of which we construct $\beta = \gamma^0$ and $\vec{\alpha} = \gamma^0\vec{\gamma}$. The Clifford algebra for γ^μ matrices implies $\vec{\alpha}\beta = -\beta\vec{\alpha}$ and $-\vec{\gamma}^2 = \vec{\alpha}^2 = \beta^2 = 1$. Note that Pauli matrices $\vec{\tau}$ act on the space of conduction and valence bands, while $\vec{\sigma}$ act on the spin space

In this way, the Dirac equation for a charge $-e$ electron is given by,

$$[i\gamma^0\gamma^j(\partial_j - ieA_j) + m\gamma^0]\psi_e = \varepsilon_e\psi_e, \tag{4}$$

where ψ_e is the wave function of an electron with momentum $\vec{k}$ and energy $\varepsilon_e = \sqrt{k^2 + m^2}$ close to the Dirac point. To construct the appropriate Nambu spinor, we need to find out the wave equation for holes. When a Dirac material is heavily doped away from the Dirac node, such that the inter-band processes are not negligible, the concept of a hole is quite close to its standard one-band version according to which the wave function of a hole is basically complex conjugate of the corresponding electron wave function[28]. Within this framework the superconductivity can be built in to the form of Bogoliubov-de Gennes construction with Nambu spinors given by $(\psi_{\vec{k}}, \psi^\dagger_{-\vec{k}})$[29]. However when the Dirac material is at its neutrality point, the Dirac equation has a charge conjugation symmetry according to which corresponding to any (four component) electron wave-function satisfying the Dirac equation ψ_e at energy ε_e, there exists another four component hole (positron) wave function $\psi_h = MK\psi_e$ at energy $\varepsilon_h = -\varepsilon_e$ that satisfies the same Dirac equation. Here K stands for complex conjugation and M is a 4×4 matrix the explicit form of which depends on the representation being used[1]. Therefore if the superconducting Hamiltonian in a 3DDM is to respect the Lorentz symmetry, the hole part of the corresponding Nambu spinor must be given by $MK\psi$.

In the work of Fu and Kane[21] it was found that a conventional s-wave superconductor can induce p-wave pairing into the two-dimensional Dirac cone on the surface of a topological insulator, provided the chemical potential is larger than the superconducting pairing scale. In this work we do not restrict ourselves to large chemical potentials, and among the other parameter regimes, we are particularly interested in the $\mu = 0$ limit. With this motivation, first we need to review how the charge conjugation operator (particle-hole transformation) as an authentic symmetry of the Dirac equation can be constructed. To obtain an equation for a hole with opposite charge one needs to complex conjugate the Dirac equation,

$$[-i\gamma^0\gamma^{j*}(\partial_j + ieA_j) + m\gamma^0]\psi_e^* = \varepsilon_e\psi_e^*. \tag{5}$$

This is the Hamiltonian for a particle of mass m and charge $+e$, i.e. a hole. But since $-\gamma^{j*}$'s also satisfy the Clifford algebra they can be obtained from the original choice of γ^μ's by a similarity transformation $-\gamma^{j*} = M^{-1}\gamma^j M$. The matrix M in the present representation, Eq. (3) turns out to be $M = \gamma^0\gamma^2$. Plugging in the wave equation for holes (positrons) and using Clifford algebra gives,

$$[i\gamma^0\gamma^j(\partial_j + ieA_j) + m\gamma^0]\, M\psi_e^* = -\varepsilon_e\, M\psi_e^*. \tag{6}$$

This clearly identifies an opposite charge wave function $\psi_h = MK\psi_e$ where K is the complex conjugation, that satisfies the Dirac equation at energy $\varepsilon_h = -\varepsilon_e$. It can indeed be shown that it is the $\psi_h = MK\psi_e$ that transforms covariantly under the spinor representation of the Lorentz transformation[1] and missing the matrix M amounts to breaking the Lorentz covariance. So the covariant form of the *Dirac*-Boguliubov-de Gennes (DBdG) equation will be,

$$\begin{bmatrix} H_{0D}(\vec{k}) & \mathbf{\Delta} \\ \mathbf{\Delta}^\dagger & -H_{0D}(\vec{k}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \psi_e(\vec{k}) \\ M\psi_e^*(-\vec{k}) \end{bmatrix} = \varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} \psi_e(\vec{k}) \\ M\psi_e^*(-\vec{k}) \end{bmatrix},$$

where in the Dirac equation for hole a $\vec{k} \to -\vec{k}$ is performed as we are interested in Cooper pairs with zero center of mass momentum and the superconducting order parameter $\mathbf{\Delta}$ is now a 4×4 matrix in the space of Dirac indices $\mu = 0\ldots 3$. Note that the transformation $\psi \to MK\psi$ can alternatively be absorbed into the BdG matrix upon which the lower Block of the Hamiltonian will look like $-M^{-1}H_{0D}^*(\vec{k})M$. This emphasizes the contrast of the present Dirac BdG equation with non-Lorentz covariant Dirac BdG equation where the matrix M is basically set to unit for spinless particles, and set to $i\sigma_y$ for spin-1/2 particles. Obviously missing matrix M (i.e. setting it equal to unit matrix) is one way of breaking the Lorentz invariance of the ensuing superconducting pairing state. There are many more ways to do so by setting M equal to any other matrix. We assume that the superconducting pairing does not break the Lorentz invariance. Doping away from the Dirac node is straightforward and only affects the diagonal part of the DBdG Hamiltonian,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm DBdG} = \begin{bmatrix} m\gamma^0 + k_\mu\gamma^0\gamma^\mu - \mu & \mathbf{\Delta} \\ \mathbf{\Delta}^\dagger & \mu - m\gamma^0 - k_\mu\gamma^0\gamma^\mu \end{bmatrix} \tag{7}$$

Now let us explicitly construct the Nambu spinor, $\psi^\dagger = [{\psi_e}^\dagger(\vec{k})\ ,\ {\psi_h}^T(-\vec{k})]$ where for a 3DDM,

$$\psi_e(\vec{k}) = \left[c_{\vec{k},+,\uparrow}\, c_{\vec{k},+,\downarrow}\, c_{\vec{k},-,\uparrow}\, c_{\vec{k},-,\downarrow}\right], \tag{8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_h(-\vec{k}) &= MK\psi_e(-\vec{k}) \\ &= K\left[c_{-\vec{k},-,\downarrow}\ - c_{-\vec{k},-,\uparrow}\ - c_{-\vec{k},+,\downarrow}\, c_{-\vec{k},+,\uparrow}\right].\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

This construction is basically for a single Dirac cone and applies to s3DDM.

Incorporation of fermion doubling

Discretization of the Dirac equation to accommodate it on a lattice gives rise to the so called fermion doubling problem according to which Dirac valleys appear in pair[25]. Therefore in Dirac materials in general one expects the Dirac cones to be mirror images of each other with respect to the center of the Brillouin zone of the crystal. Indeed the existence of a pair of Dirac cones has been experimentally confirmed in 3D Dirac semimetals such as Na_3Bi and $Cd_3\ As_2$[30]. Furthermore, ARPES probes[10,12] and *ab initio* investigations[11] confirm that the 3D Dirac semimetal has a Fermi surface consisting of a pair of Fermi points (two symmetric points along k_z). If so each of the electrons forming a Cooper pair must be picked up from two valleys connected by, e.g. k_z reversal. It can be readily seen that transformation by the 4×4 matrix $Z = \gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2$ changes the sign of γ^3 while does not do anything to γ^1 and γ^2. Therefore under this transformation the Dirac Hamiltonian $\vec{k}.\alpha + m\gamma^0$ is mapped to $(k_x\alpha^1 + k_y\alpha^2 - k_z\alpha^3) + m\gamma^0$. In this sense, the wave function of the electrons that live in the vicinity of, e.g. the left cone (denote as number 2), is obtained from the wave function of an electron that lives close to the right (number 1) cone by $\psi(\vec{k}_2) = Z\psi(\vec{k}_1)$, or,

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_{\vec{k}_2,+,\uparrow} \\ c_{\vec{k}_2,+,\downarrow} \\ c_{\vec{k}_2,-,\uparrow} \\ c_{\vec{k}_2,-,\downarrow} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_{\vec{k}_1,+,\uparrow} \\ c_{\vec{k}_1,+,\downarrow} \\ c_{\vec{k}_1,-,\uparrow} \\ c_{\vec{k}_1,-,\downarrow} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -ic_{\vec{k}_1,+,\uparrow} \\ ic_{\vec{k}_1,+,\downarrow} \\ ic_{\vec{k}_1,-,\uparrow} \\ -ic_{\vec{k}_1,-,\downarrow} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Correspondingly for holes, an additional MK operation is required, $\psi_h(-\vec{k}_2) = ZMK\psi_e(-\vec{k}_1)$, or,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_h(-\vec{k}_2) &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} K \begin{bmatrix} -ic_{-\vec{k}_1,+,\uparrow} \\ ic_{-\vec{k}_1,+,\downarrow} \\ ic_{-\vec{k}_1,-,\uparrow} \\ -ic_{-\vec{k}_1,-,\downarrow} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \left[-ic_{-\vec{k}_1,-,\downarrow} - ic_{-\vec{k}_1,-,\uparrow} - ic_{-\vec{k}_1,+,\downarrow} - ic_{-\vec{k}_1,+,\uparrow}\right]^\dagger.\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The BdG Hamiltonian for an s-wave superconductor that will be placed next to the 3DDM is,

$$H_s = \sum_{\vec{k},\sigma} \psi_s^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} \xi & \Delta_{\rm sc} \\ \Delta_{\rm sc} & -\xi \end{bmatrix} \psi_s, \tag{11}$$

where $\xi = k^2/2m_e$ is the kinetic energy of electrons in the BCS superconductor, $\Delta_{\rm sc}$ is the conventional superconducting order parameter, and $\psi_s^\dagger = (c_{k\uparrow}, c_{-k\downarrow}^\dagger)$ is the standard Nambu spinor for the one-band superconductors. Note that for an electron wave function $\psi_e(\vec{k}) = \left(c_{\vec{k},\uparrow}\ c_{\vec{k},\downarrow}\right)$ the corresponding hole state is given by $\psi_h(-\vec{k}) = \left(c^*_{-\vec{k},\downarrow}\ -c^*_{-\vec{k},\uparrow}\right)$

III. PROXIMITY WITH AN S-WAVE SUPERCONDUCTOR

Now let us bring a conventional s-wave superconductor characterized with a scalar superconducting gap Δ_{sc}. Combining the Nambu space of the superconductor and the Nambu space of the 3DDM the Green's function in the absence of tunneling is given by

$$\mathbf{G}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G}_{0s} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{G}_{0D} \end{bmatrix} \tag{12}$$

where the upper block is a 2×2 matrix in the Nambu space of a conventional superconductor and the lower block is 8×8 matrix in the Nambu space of 3DDM. Now, we use the standard form $G_0 = [i\omega_n - \mathcal{H}]^{-1}$, obtaining the one-particle thermal Green's function for the BCS superconductor:

$$G_{0s} = g_s \begin{pmatrix} i\omega_n - \xi & \Delta_{\rm sc} \\ \Delta_{\rm sc} & i\omega_n + \xi \end{pmatrix}, \tag{13}$$

where $g_s = (-{\omega_n}^2 - \xi^2 - {\Delta_{sc}}^2)^{-1}$. When the superconductor and 3DDM are brought together the coupling between the two in the combined Nambu space can be described by a 2×8 tunneling matrix $\mathbf{t}$ as

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{t} \\ \mathbf{t}^\dagger & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\mathbf{t}$ represents the tunneling matrix from 3DDM to the superconductor for a given spin orientation. The elements of this matrix connect an electron (hole) annihilation operator from Dirac material to an electron (hole) creation operator in the superconductor. Basically, we derive it in real space and then transform it to momentum space by Fourier transformation:

$$H_T = \textstyle\sum_{\vec{k},\vec{k}',\sigma} e^{-i(\vec{k}-\vec{k}').\mathbf{r}} \eta_\sigma \left(t_+ c^\dagger_{\vec{k}',\sigma} c_{\vec{k},+,\sigma} + t_- c^\dagger_{\vec{k}',\sigma} c_{\vec{k},-,\sigma}\right) + h.c. \tag{14}$$

where η_σ is a sign function that is $+1$ (-1) for $\uparrow$ $(\downarrow)$ spin, $\mathbf{r}$ denotes location of the interface where the tunneling is taking place. In the calculations of the Green's function we will assume that the interface between 3DDM and the BCS superconductor is at $z = 0$ plane. Here t_+ and t_- are tunneling amplitude between the positive and negative energy states of the 3DDM and the BCS superconductor. It is convenient to combine summation over spin indices in the superconducting side into the matrix multiplications to define $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}$ augmented to the product space of $\mathbf{t}$ and spin space which in the our basis is given by the following 4×8 matrix,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{t}} = \sum_{\vec{k},\vec{k}'} e^{-i(\vec{k}-\vec{k}').\mathbf{r}} \begin{bmatrix} \tau^+ & 0 \\ 0 & \tau^- \end{bmatrix} \tag{15}$$

where $\tau^+ = (t_+\ t_-)\otimes\mathbb{1}$ and $\tau^- = (-it_-\ -it_+)\otimes\sigma_z$. Now let us augment the Green's function to include the summation over spin indices as $\mathbf{G}_{0s} \to \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{0s} = \mathbb{1}\otimes\mathbf{G}_{0s}$ where $\mathbb{1}$ is the 2×2 unit matrix in spin space.

Using Dyson equation, we obtain the interacting Green's function, $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G_0}(1 - \mathbf{T}\mathbf{G_0})^{-1}$. Up to first order, tunneling does not induce any superconducting term into the Green's function of the Dirac sector,

$$\mathbf{G}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{G}_{0s} & \mathbf{G}_{0s}.\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{G}_{0D} \\ \mathbf{G}_{0D}.\mathbf{t}^\dagger.\mathbf{G}_{0s} & \mathbf{G}_{0D} \end{pmatrix}.$$

So we move on to the second order, $\mathbf{G}_2$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{G}_{0s} + \mathbf{G}_{0s}.\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{G}_{0D}.\mathbf{t}^\dagger.\mathbf{G}_{0s} & \mathbf{G}_{0s}.\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{G}_{0D} \\ \mathbf{G}_{0D}.\mathbf{t}^\dagger.\mathbf{G}_{0s} & \mathbf{G}_{0D} + \mathbf{G}_{0D}.\mathbf{t}^\dagger.\mathbf{G}_{0s}.\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{G}_{0D} \end{pmatrix},$$

which gives the first non-zero and the leading correction to the Green's function of Dirac electrons$\mathbf{G_{0D}}$ as,

$$\mathbf{G}_{0D} \to \mathbf{G}_{0D} + \mathbf{G}_{0D}.\mathbf{t}^\dagger.\mathbf{G}_{0s}.\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{G}_{0D} \tag{16}$$

$\mathbf{G}_{0D}$ is the noninteracting Green's function of 3DDM that after the summation on spin is:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{0D} = \begin{bmatrix} g_D^+(i\omega_n + \mu + m\gamma^0 + \vec{k}.\vec{\alpha}) & 0 \\ 0 & g_D^-(i\omega_n - \mu - m\gamma^0 - \vec{k}.\vec{\alpha}') \end{bmatrix} \tag{17}$$

with $g_D^\pm = [(i\omega_n \pm \mu)^2 - m^2 - k^2]^{-1}$ and $\vec{\alpha}' = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, -\alpha_3)$. Indeed the general tunneling matrix $\mathbf{t}$ allows to figure out the general form of the corrections at arbitrary even $(2p)$ or odd $(2p+1)$ order of perturbation as,

$$G_{2p} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{G}_{0s}.\sum_{n=0}^{p}(\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{G}_{0D}.\mathbf{t}^\dagger.\mathbf{G}_{0s})^n & \mathbf{G}_{0s}.\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{G}_{0D}.\sum_{n=0}^{p-1}(\mathbf{t}^\dagger.\mathbf{G}_{0s}.\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{G}_{0D})^n \\ \mathbf{G}_{0D}.\mathbf{t}^\dagger.\mathbf{G}_{0s}.\sum_{n=0}^{p-1}(\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{G}_{0D}.\mathbf{t}^\dagger.\mathbf{G}_{0s})^n & \mathbf{G}_{0D}\sum_{n=0}^{p}(\mathbf{t}^\dagger.\mathbf{G}_{0s}.\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{G}_{0D})^n \end{pmatrix} \tag{18}$$

$$G_{2p+1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{G}_{0s}.\sum_{n=0}^{p}(\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{G}_{0D}.\mathbf{t}^\dagger.\mathbf{G}_{0s})^n & \mathbf{G}_{0s}.\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{G}_{0D}.\sum_{n=0}^{p}(\mathbf{t}^\dagger.\mathbf{G}_{0s}.\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{G}_{0D})^n \\ \mathbf{G}_{0D}.\mathbf{t}^\dagger.\mathbf{G}_{0s}.\sum_{n=0}^{p}(\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{G}_{0D}.\mathbf{t}^\dagger.\mathbf{G}_{0s})^n & \mathbf{G}_{0D}\sum_{n=0}^{p}(\mathbf{t}^\dagger.\mathbf{G}_{0s}.\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{G}_{0D})^n \end{pmatrix} \tag{19}$$

where the difference between orders $2p$ and $2p+1$ appears on the off-diagonal corrections that renormalize the tunneling part of the Hamiltonian. Augmenting this structure to include the spin space, the basic building block is,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{t}}^{\dagger}.\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{0s}.\tilde{\mathbf{t}}.\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{0D} = g_s \begin{bmatrix} g_D^+(i\omega_n - \xi)\tau^{+\dagger}\tau_D^+(i\omega_n + \mu + m\gamma^0 + \mathbf{k}.\alpha) & -g_D^-\Delta_{sc}\tau^{+\dagger}\tau^-(i\omega_n - \mu - m\gamma^0 - \mathbf{k}.\alpha') \\ -g_D^+\Delta_{sc}\tau^{-\dagger}\tau^+(i\omega_n + \mu + m\gamma^0 + \mathbf{k}.\alpha) & g_D^-(i\omega_n + \xi)\tau^{-\dagger}\tau^-(i\omega_n - \mu - m\gamma^0 - \mathbf{k}.\alpha') \end{bmatrix}, \quad (20)$$

from which the Dirac-Gorkov Green's function becomes,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_D \to \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{0D} + \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{0D}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}^{\dagger}.\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{0s}.\tilde{\mathbf{t}}.\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{0D})^n, \quad (21)$$

This equation allows systematic calculation of the induction of superconductivity as encoded in the above Dirac-Gorkov equation: The Green's function of 3DDM gets dressed at each order of tunneling and acquired off-diagonal matrix elements ($\mathbf{F}_n$) in the Nambu space which correspond to induced superconductivity.

A. Appearance of p-wave superconductivity

To second order in tunneling the Cooper pair propagator is,

$$\mathbf{F}_2 = g(i\omega_n + \mu + m\gamma^0 + \vec{k}.\vec{\alpha})\tau^{+\dagger}\tau^-(i\omega_n - \mu - m\gamma^0 - \vec{k}.\vec{\alpha}')$$

where $g = -g_D^+ g_D^- g_s \Delta_{sc}$. This can be written as,

$$\mathbf{F}_2/g = A + B(\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha}) + (\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha})\tau^{+\dagger}\tau^-(\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha}'), \quad (22)$$

where $A = (i\omega_n+\mu+m\gamma^0)\tau^{+\dagger}\tau^-(i\omega_n-\mu-m\gamma^0)$ and B are 4×4 matrices independent of $\vec{k}$. Therefore the first term of the above equation corresponds to s-wave superconductivity while the $B(\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha})$ generates angular dependence proportional to k_z and $k_x \pm ik_y$ which are $\ell = 1$ spherical harmonics and hence corresponds to p-wave pairing. Now let us move to the third term which seems to be second order in $\vec{k}$ and hence in general is expected to mix d-wave harmonics. However the very structure of $\tau^{+\dagger}\tau^-$ decides about the fate of this term and higher order terms. The matrix multiplication in this term takes care of the summations required over the spin variables. For a non-spin flip tunneling where tunneling matrix is given below Eq. (15) we have,

$$\mathcal{T} = \tau^{+\dagger}\tau^- = i\begin{pmatrix} -t_+t_- & 0 & -t_+^2 & 0 \\ 0 & t_+t_- & 0 & t_+^2 \\ -t_-^2 & 0 & -t_+t_- & 0 \\ 0 & t_-^2 & 0 & t_+t_- \end{pmatrix} \quad (23)$$

which describes the form of tunneling matrix that has been appropriately folded into the off-diagonal part of the Nambu space of the 3DDM. It is now informative to expand the above matrix in terms of a basis that is composed of one $\mathbb{1}$, four γ^μ, one γ^5, four $\gamma^5\gamma^\mu$, and six $\sigma^{\mu\nu} = i\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu$ with $\mu \neq \nu$. A general 4×4 matrix L can be expanded in this basis as

$$L = L_s\mathbb{1} + L_5\gamma^5 + L_\mu\gamma^\mu + L_{5\mu}\gamma^5\gamma^\mu + L_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu}, \quad (24)$$

where the indices have definite meaning with respect to Lorentz transformations: L_s is scalar, L_μ is vector, L_5 is pseudo-scalar, meaning that it is scalar except for transformations whose determinant is -1, e.g. mirror reflection. Similarly $L_{5\mu}$ is a pseudo-vector, and finally $L_{\mu\nu}$ is a tensor[1]. Now the expansion of $\mathcal{T}$ becomes,

$$\mathcal{T} = (1/2)\times[(t_-^2 - t_+^2)\gamma^0\gamma^3 - (t_+^2 + t_-^2)\gamma^3] + t_+t_-\gamma^{50}\gamma^3$$

With the commutation rules of the γ matrices it can be seen that the above matrix can be manipulated as follows: $\mathcal{T}\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha}' = \vec{k}.\vec{\alpha}\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ where $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ is obtained from $\mathcal{T}$ by flipping the sign of the coefficients of γ^0 and hence the third term becomes,

$$(\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha})\mathcal{T}(\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha}') = k^2\tilde{\mathcal{T}} \quad (25)$$

which means that the third term in the second order contribution is also s-wave.

At this point let us emphasize the importance of matrix M required in the charge conjugation: In the absence of matrix M, instead of the above equation we would have a term like $(\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha})\mathcal{T}(\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha}^*)$ which upon commuting $\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha}$ to the left of tunneling matrix would produce $k^ik^j\alpha_i\alpha_j^*\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ rather than $k^ik^j\alpha_i\alpha_j\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$. Unlinke $\alpha_i\alpha_j = \delta_{ij}$, which is a fully isotropic tensor in spatial indices i,j, the $\alpha_i\alpha_j^*$ is not isotropic and hence in addition to s-wave component, d-wave component (which is of course compatible with singlet pairing) already appears at the lowest order of the tunneling. As can be inferred the appearance of higher angular momentum pairing is a result of the spin-orbit interaction encoded in the form of $\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha}$ in the Dirac Hamiltonian. If instead one would have a normal metal with its Hamiltonian given by $k^2/2m_e$ (times the unit matrix σ_0 in the spin space), since there are no Pauli spin matrices of spins involved, proximity to s-wave superconductor would only induce s-wave pairing in a normal metal. However in the case of Dirac Hamiltonian the spin-orbit interaction inherent in $\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha}$ structure is responsible for generation of higher spherical Harmonics, but then the $\alpha_i\alpha_j = \delta_{ij}$ structure (associated with matrix M and hence Lorenz invariance pairing) instead of $\alpha_i\alpha_j^*$ structure is responsible for cutting off the angular momenta hierarchy beyond the $\ell = 1$.

B. Group structure of tunneling matrix: Structure of the perturbation to all orders

So far we have seen that to leading order of perturbation in tunneling, proximity of a conventional superconductor to a 3DDM allows only for s-wave and p-wave superconductivity in the 3DDM. In this subsection we show that thanks to a peculiar subgroup structure of the tunneling matrices,

the same structure persists to *all orders of perturbation* theory. Let us see how this structure is preserved to all orders of perturbation in tunneling. If we continue to calculate the higher orders, the n'th order tunneling correction to the pairing potential induced into 3DDM, we find that it is in general made up of some powers of four kinds of terms: $\zeta(\tau^{\pm\dagger}\tau^{\mp})\zeta'$ and $\zeta(\tau^{\pm\dagger}\tau^{\pm})\zeta$, where $\zeta = i\omega_n + \mu + m\gamma^0 + \vec{k}.\vec{\alpha}$ and $\zeta' = i\omega_n - \mu - m\gamma^0 - \vec{k}.\vec{\alpha}'$. The two other terms are obtained by the permutation $\zeta \leftrightarrow \zeta'$. The lucky situation that happens here is that when the extra γ^3 that is required for the two-Dirac cone case is not present, the set of matrices $\tau^{\pm\dagger}\tau^{\pm}$ and $\tau^{\pm\dagger}\tau^{\mp}$ form a subgroup of 4×4 matrices of the form $T = a\mathbb{1} + a_0\gamma^0 + a_5\gamma^5 + a_{50}\gamma^{50}$. This is a subgroup as it is closed under matrix multiplication. The interesting property of this group of matrices is that when such a matrix passes through each $\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha}$ (i.e. from the left of $\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha}$ to its right) the expansion coefficients a_m characterizing T undergo the transformation $(a_0, a_{50}) \to -(a_0, a_{50})$ in the above expansion. In the case of two Dirac cones where the γ^3 is present to take care of the transformation between the cones, the role of γ^3 is to replace α' with α. Repeating this process to push all the tunneling matrices to the right, collects all the $\vec{k}$ dependence to the left, and we are essentially left with the elementary calculation of $(\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha})^m$ which is k^m for even m and $k^m(\hat{k}.\vec{\alpha})$ for odd m and hence at the end we are left with a term proportional to $[A_n + B_n(\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha})]\mathcal{O}(t_{\pm}{}^{2n})$ where A_n and B_n are some $\vec{k}$-independent matrices. Therefore Lorenz invariance of the pairing which has been built into the matrix M allows for the induction of *precisely only* s- and p-wave superconductivity. Note that for weak links where tunneling amplitude is small, higher order tunneling processes are expected to become smaller in magnitude and hence contribute to a convergence series in the s- and p-wave induced superconductivity in a 3DDM.

IV. PSEUDO-SCALAR, PESUDO-VECTOR, AND TENSOR VALUED SUPERCONDUCTIVITY: ODD-FREQUENCY PAIRING

So far we have convinced ourselves that when a conventional superconductor is placed next to a 3DDM, the singlet Cooper pairs of the BSC superconductor can tunnel into 3DDM either as singlet Cooper pairs or as spin-triplet Cooper pairs. In principle the spin singlet Cooper pair would have corresponded to any even angular momentum, and the spin triplet Cooper pair would have corresponded to any odd angular momentum. But our preceding discussion established a no-go theorem according to which only s-wave and p-wave angular momenta are possible.

Being a Dirac material, the symmetry of the problem is much richer than just the rotation group, and indeed the Hamiltonian is invariant under a much larger group of Lorentz transformations. In the case of a single Dirac cone the symmetry of the problem is the whole Lorentz group, while in the two-cone materials due to a preferred rotation axis, the rotation part of the Lorentz transformation is only restricted to rotations around the z-axis[22] which in the crystal is further restricted to discrete rotations, however in the continuum the full continuous rotational symmetry is restored.

In this section we are going to expand the induced superconducting pairing potential in "channels" – in the sense of Eq. (24) – with definite transformation properties under the Lorentz transformation. The spin-singlet induced pairing therefore breaks into two pieces: it could either behave as a scalar, or a pseudo-scalar with respect to the Lorentz transformations. Similarly the spin-triplet induced pairing can have two components that transform either as a (four-) vector or as a pseudo (four-) vector. In addition we could have a component which may behave as a tensor. The Fiertz decomposition[31] of the superconducting matrix will be

$$\Delta = \Delta^s + \Delta_\mu\gamma^\mu + \Delta_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu} + \Delta_{5\mu}\gamma^5\gamma^\mu + \Delta_5\gamma^5 \quad (26)$$

where the basis is defined in Eq. (24).

Now let us proceed with the calculation of the induced superconducting pairing in 3DDM. In general to obtain the gap matrix $\mathbf{\Delta}$ from the anomalous (Dirac-Gorkov) Green's function $\mathbf{F}$ we simply need to trace out the superconductor that has been attached to the 3DDM as follows[32],

$$\mathbf{\Delta}(\vec{k}) = \lambda_s N_0 \langle \mathbf{F}(\omega_n, \vec{k}, \vec{k}')\rangle_{\vec{k}',\omega_n}, \quad (27)$$

where λ_s and N_0 are respectively the strength of the attractive interaction and the density of states on the Fermi surface in the conventional BCS superconductor. The averaging $\langle ...\rangle_{\vec{k}'}$ encompasses the integration over all wave vectors $\vec{k}'$ in the first Brilluine zone of the conventional BCS superconductor. Similarly the Matsubara summation $\beta^{-1}\sum_{\omega_n}$ is also encoded into the averaging symbol.

From this point we will concentrate on the leading second order in tunneling strength. For weak coupling truncation at the second order is a very good approximation for which the above integration can be explicitly performed to get the gap matrix,

$$\Delta = \tilde{\Delta}\begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{+\uparrow-\downarrow} & -\Delta_{+\uparrow-\uparrow} & \Delta_{+\uparrow+\downarrow} & -\Delta_{+\uparrow+\uparrow} \\ \Delta_{+\downarrow-\downarrow} & -\Delta_{+\downarrow-\uparrow} & \Delta_{+\downarrow+\downarrow} & -\Delta_{+\downarrow+\uparrow} \\ \Delta_{-\uparrow-\downarrow} & -\Delta_{-\uparrow-\uparrow} & \Delta_{-\uparrow+\downarrow} & -\Delta_{-\uparrow+\uparrow} \\ \Delta_{-\downarrow-\downarrow} & -\Delta_{-\downarrow-\uparrow} & \Delta_{-\downarrow+\downarrow} & -\Delta_{-\downarrow+\uparrow} \end{bmatrix} \quad (28)$$

with

$$\tilde{\Delta} = \frac{\Delta_{\rm sc}\lambda_s N_0 \langle g_s|_{\omega_n=\pi k_B T}\rangle_{\vec{k}'}}{(\pi^2 k_B^2 T^2 + k^2)^2 + (m^2-\mu^2)^2}$$

where due to the quick $\sim (i\omega)^{-5}$ decay of the second order results, the averaging over ω_n is estimated by the contribution of smallest $i\omega_n = \pm\pi k_B T$ terms. It is crucial to note that the above structure of the matrix elements is obtained as follows: The superconducting matrix is basically $\langle\psi_e\bar{\psi}_h\rangle$ where $\bar{\psi}_h = \psi_h^\dagger\gamma^0$. Using the fact that the hole is obtained by $\psi_h = MK\psi_e$ with $M = \gamma^0\gamma^2$, after some algebra we find that

$$\bar{\psi}_h = \psi_e^T M^\dagger\gamma^0 = -\psi_e^T\gamma^2. \quad (29)$$

$\Delta_{+\uparrow-\uparrow}$ $\Delta^*_{+\downarrow-\downarrow}$ $-\Delta_{-\uparrow+\uparrow}$ $-\Delta^*_{-\downarrow+\downarrow}$	$-\left[t_+^2(m+\mu)+t_-^2(m-\mu)\right]\ (k_x+ik_y)$
$\Delta_{+\uparrow+\downarrow}$ $-\Delta_{+\downarrow+\uparrow}$	$-it_+^2(\pi^2k_B^2T^2+m^2+\mu^2)-it_-^2(k^2-2m\mu)$
$\Delta_{-\uparrow-\downarrow}$ $-\Delta_{-\downarrow-\uparrow}$	$-it_+^2(2m\mu+k^2)-it_-^2(\pi^2k_B^2T^2+m^2+\mu^2)$
$\Delta_{+\uparrow-\downarrow}$ $\Delta^*_{+\downarrow-\uparrow}$ $-\Delta^*_{-\uparrow+\downarrow}$ $-\Delta_{-\downarrow+\uparrow}$	$it_+t_-[-\pi^2k_B^2T^2+m^2-\mu^2+k^2]$ $+[t_+^2(m+\mu)+t_-^2(m-\mu)]k_z$

TABLE I. Values of the gap matrix elements, Eq. (28) in second order perturbation theory for a 3DDM with two Dirac cones.

Using explicit representation of γ matrices, and assuming

$$\psi_e=\begin{bmatrix}\psi_{+\uparrow}\\ \psi_{+\downarrow}\\ \psi_{-\uparrow}\\ \psi_{-\downarrow}\end{bmatrix}$$

we explicitly obtain

$$\bar{\psi}_h=\begin{bmatrix}\psi_{-\downarrow} & -\psi_{-\uparrow} & \psi_{+\downarrow} & -\psi_{+\uparrow}\end{bmatrix} \tag{30}$$

which then using the definition $\Delta_{\lambda\sigma,\lambda'\sigma'}=\langle\psi_{e\lambda\sigma}\bar{\psi}_{h\lambda'\sigma'}\rangle$ with $\lambda,\lambda'=\pm$ and $\sigma,\sigma'=\uparrow,\downarrow$ gives the structure in Eq. (28).

A. 3DDMs with two Dirac cones

The above gap matrix elements can be separately calculated for the 3DDM with two Dirac cones and those with a single Dirac cone.

The matrix elements for a system with two Dirac cones separated along the k_z axis are given in Table I. Here we have two bands (labeled by $\pm$ indices) and two spin degrees of freedom which are all encoded in the gap matrix, Eq. (28) giving a total of 16 possible pairing amplitudes. Some of them are inter-band and some are intra-band pairings. As discussed, the orbital angular momentum of the pairing function can only be s-wave or p-wave to all orders. The $\uparrow\uparrow$ or $\downarrow\downarrow$ total spin is proportional to $k_x\pm ik_y$ while the $\uparrow\downarrow$ or $\downarrow\uparrow$ can either be proportional to k_z (meaning p-wave with $L_z=0$) or independent of angle (meaning a s-wave spin singlet pair). As can be seen the values reported in table I obey this form.

B. Single cone materials

Now let us discuss about proximity induced superconductivity for single cone three-dimensional Dirac materials (s3DDM). Time reversal and inversion symmetry can protect a Dirac node depending on the representation of the inversion being used[22] can give a single Dirac node pinned at a zone corner, as it proposed in 3DDMs such as BiO_2 [16] and

$\Delta_{+\uparrow+\uparrow}$ $-\Delta^*_{+\downarrow+\downarrow}$ $-\Delta_{-\uparrow-\uparrow}$ $\Delta^*_{-\downarrow-\downarrow}$	$-2t^+t^-mi(k_x-ik_y)$
$\Delta_{+\uparrow-\uparrow}$ $-\Delta^*_{+\downarrow-\downarrow}$	$-(t_+^2+t_-^2)(m+\mu)i(k_x-ik_y)$
$\Delta_{-\uparrow+\uparrow}$ $-\Delta^*_{-\downarrow+\downarrow}$	$(t_+^2+t_-^2)(m-\mu)i(k_x-ik_y)$
$\Delta_{+\uparrow+\downarrow}$ $\Delta^*_{+\downarrow+\uparrow}$	$-t_+^2(-\pi^2k_B^2T^2+m^2-\mu^2)+t_-^2k^2-2mt^+t^-ik_z$
$\Delta_{-\uparrow-\downarrow}$ $\Delta^*_{-\downarrow-\uparrow}$	$t_-^2(-\pi^2k_B^2T^2+m^2-\mu^2)-t_+^2k^2+2mt^+t^-ik_z$
$\Delta_{-\uparrow+\downarrow}$ $\Delta^*_{-\downarrow+\uparrow}$	$t_+t_-[\pi^2k_B^2T^2+(m-\mu)^2-k^2]$ $+(t_+^2+t_-^2)(m-\mu)ik_z$
$\Delta_{+\uparrow-\downarrow}$ $\Delta^*_{+\downarrow-\uparrow}$	$-t_+t_-[\pi^2k_B^2T^2+(m+\mu)^2-k^2]$ $-(t_+^2+t_-^2)(m+\mu)ik_z$

TABLE II. Values of the gap matrix elements for a 3DDM with one Dirac cones.

Bi(Zn,Ca)SiO_4 [33]. In this cases, only one Dirac cone exists so the electron and its conjugate hole are from a single Dirac node and therefore the hole Green's function is $(i\omega_n-\mu+m\gamma^0+\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha})^{-1}$. The tunneling matrix is,

$$\mathbf{t}=\sum_{\vec{k},\vec{k}'}e^{-i(\vec{k}-\vec{k}').\mathbf{r}}\begin{bmatrix}\tau^+ & 0\\ 0 & \tau^-\end{bmatrix},$$

where $\tau^+=(t_+\quad t_-)\otimes\mathbb{1}$ and $\tau^-=(-t_-\quad t_+)\otimes\mathbb{1}$ which give

$$\mathcal{T}=\tau^{+\dagger}\tau^-=\begin{pmatrix}-t_+t_- & 0 & t_+^2 & 0\\ 0 & -t_+t_- & 0 & t_+^2\\ -t_-^2 & 0 & t_+t_- & 0\\ 0 & -t_-^2 & 0 & t_+t_-\end{pmatrix} \tag{31}$$

$$=-t_+t_-\gamma^0-(i/2)\times[(t_-^2+t_+^2)\gamma^5+(t_-^2-t_+^2)\gamma^5\gamma^0].$$

The above equation should be contrasted with Eq. (23). Their difference emerges from the fact that in two Dirac cone cases in order to form a Cooper pair with total center of mass momentum equal to zero, electron-hole pair needed in construction of the Nambu spinor must be picked from the opposite Dirac cone (electron from one cone and hole from the other cone). But in the case of a s3DDM both electron and hole wave functions needed in construction of the Nambu spinor must be picked up from the same cone. But, despite of this difference in $\mathcal{T}$, following exactly the same steps as for the double cone three dimensional Dirac material (d3DDM), at second order we obtain the $\mathbf{\Delta}$ matrix, which has the similar form as for d3DDM case,

$$\Delta=\tilde{\Delta}(i\omega_n+\mu+m\gamma^0+\mathbf{k}.\alpha)\mathcal{T}(i\omega_n-\mu-m\gamma^0-\mathbf{k}.\alpha)$$

The elements of this matrix are summarized in Table II. The elements of this table should be contrasted to Table I. In both tables the $\uparrow\uparrow$ and $\downarrow\downarrow$ total spin configurations (belonging to spin triplet, and hence even) are spatially odd functions of

$\vec{k}$, namely $k_x \pm ik_y$ which is part of the orbital angular momentum $\ell = 1$ wave-vector dependence. However, the $\uparrow\downarrow$ or $\downarrow\uparrow$ Cooper pairs mix both even and odd functional dependence on $\vec{k}$. Since either of $\uparrow\downarrow$ or $\downarrow\uparrow$ pairing states mixes the $S_z = 0$ components from both singlet and triplet total spins, both even and *odd frequency* pairings are possible. To see the things more clearly, and in anticipation of tensor-valued superconducting order, the above matrix can be Fiertz decomposed. We will return to this discussion wen we compare the Fiertz decomposition of the s3DDM and d3DDM in section IV C. The resulting superconducting orders in various "channels" are summarized in table IV. Before making an extensive comparison between the Fiertz decomposition of s3DDM and d3DDM, let us digress briefly on an important circumstances that gives rise to vanishing higher order perturbative corrections due to peculiar form that the tunneling matrix can acquire.

Exact results for a subset of s3DDM

As will be discussed in materials sub-section IV D the predicted candidate materials for s3DDM are expected to have nearly equal tunneling amplitudes to conduction and valence bands, namely $t_+ \approx t_-$. With this motivation let us study the the special case where the tunneling from positive and negative energy states satisfy $t_+^2 = t_-^2 = t^2$ which gives $\mathcal{T} = -t^2(\gamma^0 + i\gamma^5)$. On the other hand the set of matrices $x\mathbb{1} + y\gamma^5\gamma^0$ forms a group and by the very defining properties of γ matrices is isomorphic to the group of complex numbers $z = x + iy$. This can be simply seen by assuming that if we are given two matrices $\mathcal{T}_1 = x_1\mathbb{1} + y_1\gamma^5\gamma^0$ and $\mathcal{T}_2 = x_2\mathbb{1} + y_2\gamma^5\gamma^0$ parameterized by pairs of numbers (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2), respectively, then their matrix product is given by $\mathcal{T}_1\mathcal{T}_2 = (x_1x_2 - y_1y_2)\mathbb{1} + (x_1y_2 + x_2y_1)\gamma^5\gamma^0$, which is precisely how two complex numbers $z_1 = x_1 + iy_1$ and $z_2 = x_2 + iy_2$ are multiplied. Equipped with this observation, the property $\mathcal{T}(\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha}) = (\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha})\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ then can be interpreted as $z(\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha}) = -(\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha})z^*$. Therefore even powers such as $\{z(\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha})\}^{2n}$ will become $\{[z(\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha})z(\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha})]\}^n$ or equivalently $\{-[(\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha})z^*z(\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha})]\}^n$ wich is $(x^2+y^2)^n(k^2)^n$. For the odd powers one has $\{z(\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha})\}^{2n+1} = -(x^2+y^2)^nk^{2n}(\vec{k}.\vec{\alpha})z^*$.

Δ_0 :	$[t_+^2(m+\mu) + t_-^2(m-\mu)]k_z$
Δ_3 :	$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\lambda=+,-} t_\lambda^2[-\pi^2k_B^2T^2 - (m+\lambda\mu)^2 - k^2]$
Δ_{5a} :	$[t_+^2(m+\mu) + t_-^2(m-\mu)]\epsilon_{ab}k^b$
Δ_{03} :	$-\frac{i}{2}\sum_{\lambda=+,-} t_\lambda^2[-\pi^2k_B^2T^2 - (m-\lambda\mu)^2 + k^2]$
Δ_{12} :	$it_+t_-(-\pi^2k_B^2T^2 + m^2 - \mu^2 + k^2)$

TABLE III. Fiertz decomposition of the gap matrix for double-cone 3DDM with respect to its behavior under the Lorentz transformation. This decomposition is based on Eq. (26) The spatial indices a, b run over $1, 2$.

.

For the above special case we have $x = -t^2, y = -it^2$ and hence $x^2 + y^2$ vanishes. In this case only the lowest order tunneling, i.e. $n = 1$ survives and we have a stronger version of our no-go theorem: Still only s- and p-wave superconductivity are induced in 3DDM, but in the symmetric tunneling case the whole contribution of the p-wave pairing channel comes from the lowest order. Therefore *when the tunneling probability to conduction and valence bands of the s3DDM are the same, essentially the lowest order result is exact.* With this in mind, let us now make a detailed comparison of the induced superconductivity in s3DDM and d3DDM.

C. Comparison between single and double Dirac cone 3DDM

Now we have leading order tunneling result for two set of 3DDM with either two or one Dirac cones which are summarized in tables III and IV, let us now start comparison of the ensuing superconducting order by the level of complexity. But before that note that for the rotation sub-group of the Lorentz group in d3DDM is actually reduced to the rotation axis along k_z which then leave k_z unchanged, while in the s3DDM, the Lorentz group includes the full SO(3) rotation group. This reduction is simply due to the fact that d3DDM introduces a preferred direction which has been assumed to be the z direction in this work. When the axis separating the two Dirac cones in d3DDM is an arbitrary direction $\vec{n}$, the results quoted here will need only an appropriate rotation as our formulation is covariant with respect to the whole group of Lorentz transformations.

With respect to scalar behavior under rotation we have two possibilities: (i) Lorentz-scalar superconducting order: This is denoted by Δ^s and is the coefficient of the matrix $\mathbb{1}$ in Eq. (26). The induced singlet superconducting pairing in 3DDM can gives rise to a scalar superconducting order Δ^s only when we have a single Dirac cone. In the case of d3DDM there are no components proportional to 4×4 matrix $\mathbb{1}$. This simply means that *in double cone 3DDM, no conventional superconductivity can be induced.* (ii) The next possible order which belongs to spin-singlet Cooper pairing is the pseudo scalar superconductivity, Δ_5 which is the coefficient of matrix

Δ^s :	$-2t_+t_-m\mu$
Δ_0 :	$t_+t_-(-\pi^2k_B^2T^2 - m^2 - \mu^2 + k^2)$
Δ_5 :	$\frac{i}{2}(t_-^2 + t_+^2)(-\pi^2k_B^2T^2 + m^2 - \mu^2 - k^2)$
Δ_{50} :	$\frac{i}{2}(t_-^2 - t_+^2)(-\pi^2k_B^2T^2 + m^2 - \mu^2 + k^2)$
Δ_{5j} :	$i(t_+^2 + t_-^2)mk_j$
Δ_{0j} :	$i2t_+t_-mk_j$
Δ_{ij} :	$-i\mu(t_+^2 + t_-^2)\epsilon_{ijl}k^l$

TABLE IV. Fiertz decomposition of the gap matrix for s3DDM . This decomposition is based on Eq. (26). The indices i, j, l correspond to three spatial directions $1, 2, 3$ and ϵ_{ijl} is the totally antisymmetric tensor.

γ^5 in expansion of the superconducting matrix, c.f. Eq. (26). Indeed we have recently shown that the order parameter Δ_5 in competition with the Dirac gap m itself can give rise to a two dimensional sea of Majorana zero modes[34]. The present work shows that the pseudo-scalar superconductivity can be possibly obtained by proximity of a BCS superconductor to a s3DDM. Moreover, under the lucky circumstance of almost equal tunneling amplitude to upper and lower bands, $t_+ \approx t_-$ the present second order result will be almost exact.

The next level of complexity in the superconducting order are the *four-vector* superconducting order. The three-vector version of it is familiar in the standard triplet pairing context. However being a four-vector $(t, \vec{r})$, the length $t^2 - r^2$ of a four-vector can be positive corresponding to time-like separations, or negative corresponding to space-like separations. With this brief reminder, let us now compare the induced Δ_μ orders parameters in 3DDM problem. As can be seen in table IV, in s3DDM only Δ_0 is non-zero, and the spatial part Δ_j with $j = 1, 2, 3$ is identically zero. This means that the pairing corresponding to (four) vector pairing in s3DDM is fully *time-like*, while in the case of d3DDM in table III, both temporal and spatial component of the vector Δ_μ are non-zero, and depending on parameters both time-like and space-like four-vector Δ_μ are in principle possible. Apart from the difference with respect to "light-cone", there is yet one very important physical difference between the temporal components Δ_0 for the s3DDM and d3DDM: The Δ_0 for d3DDM (s3DDM) is odd (even) function of $\vec{k}$. From the Fiertz decomposition in turns out that the temporal component is spin-singlet which is odd with respect to exchange of the particles. This means that the Δ_0 pairing in d3DDM is *odd-frequency pairing*. Similar considerations apply to the spatial component Δ_3 in d3DDM: Being part of a spatial vector implies that Δ_3 belongs to spin-triplet. The orbital part in table III is even, and hence time-dependence must be odd. Therefore the induced Δ_μ in d3DDM is odd-frequency.

Within the Lorentz group, four-vectors can behave as pseudo-vectors, in the sense of being a coefficient of $\gamma^5\gamma^\mu$ in expansion (26). These are denoted by $\Delta_{5\mu}$. In the case of s3DDM, both temporal and spatial components are non-zero. Indeed the proportionality of Δ_{5j} to k_j, nicely indicates its vector character with respect to space rotations. However, in the case of d3DDM, the pseudo-vector superconducting order is purely space-like. The vector character of Δ_{5a} for d3DDM in table III has been nicely reflected in contraction of ϵ_{ab} tensor with k^b vector. The temporal component of the $\Delta_5\mu$ is spin-singlet, and the spatial component is spin-triplet. In the s3DDM the orbital dependence for Δ_{50} is even, while for Δ_{5j} is even. This implies that in s3DDM the pseudo-vector superconductivity is even-frequency. The same reasoning applies for Δ_{5a} in d3DDM.

Finally at the highest level of complexity we have tensor superconducting order, $\Delta_{\mu\nu}$ for $\mu \neq \nu$. As can be seen in table IV, for the case of s3DDM, the six tensorial components break into three vectors $\Delta_{0j} \sim k_j$ and three axial vectors $\Delta_{ij} \sim \epsilon_{ijl}k^l$ where i, j, l can be any spatial index $1, 2, 3$. All six components being grouped into vector will be spin-triplet (even), and since their $\vec{k}$-dependence is odd, they will correspond to normal even-frequency pairing. Therefore the tensorial superconducting order in s3DDM is spin triplet, even frequency. For the case of d3DDM however as can be seen in table III there are only two components of the tensorial superconducting order Δ_{03} and Δ_{12} which are singled out by the preferred z direction. With respect to the total spin, they can in principle be mixture of singlet and triplet spins, however their explicit form after Fiertz decomposition is,

$$\Delta_{03} = \frac{-1}{2}\left(\Delta_{+\uparrow+\downarrow} + \Delta_{+\downarrow+\uparrow}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta_{-\uparrow-\downarrow} + \Delta_{-\downarrow-\uparrow}\right)$$
$$\Delta_{12} = \frac{1}{4}\left(\Delta_{+\uparrow+\downarrow} + \Delta_{+\downarrow+\uparrow} + \Delta_{-\uparrow-\downarrow} + \Delta_{-\downarrow-\uparrow}\right)$$

which are manifestly spin-triplet combinations which is even with respect to exchange of particles. Given from table III that their dependence on $\vec{k}$ is also even, the induced tensorial superconducting order in d3DDM will be odd-frequency. To summarize, in d3DDM we obtain vector, pseudo-vector and tensorial induced superconducting order the first two of which are odd-frequency.

D. Materials

Let us start discussing the material Na_3Bi. In this material the valence and conduction bands are mostly from Bi-6p and Na-3s states[9], respectively. It has a simple crystal structure with three distinct crystallographic sites: Na(1), Na(2), and Bi. It consists of Na(1)-Bi honeycomb layers stacked along the c axis, with Na(2) between the layers[9], so the primitive cell of this material implies on average 3 times larger probability for hoping to conduction band (Na atoms) than the hopping to valence band (dominated by Bi atoms). This means that the tunneling amplitudes are $t_+ = \sqrt{3}V_{sp\sigma}$ and $t_- = V_{ss\sigma}$ assuming that the orbitals on the BCS superconductor side have s character and $V_{sp\sigma}, V_{ss\sigma}$ are corresponding Slater-Koster integrals. These two bands cross each other along $\Gamma - L$ line. The band calculations[9] predicted the existence of two Dirac nodes at $(0, 0, \pm\, 0.1A^o)$[7,8] but in high E_F magnitudes, the two Dirac cones will eventually meet and merge into one cone[8] when the energy exceeds the Lifshitz-transition energy $E_L \sim 0.1eV$. Hence, an important question is whether μ in of a given sample is above or below E_L. For an undoped Na_3Bi sample where the chemical potential can be tuned to $\mu = 0$ then we are dealing with a d3DDM and hence the induced superconductivity in its various channels must be read of from table III. Since this material is gapless[9] ($m = 0$), then only odd-frequency (spin triplet) tensorial components Δ_{12}, Δ_{03} and the odd-frequency (spin triplet) Δ_3 are expected to survive. Similar conclusions holds for other well known d3DDM, namely Cd_3As_2, were the low energy electronic properties are mostly determined by the Cd-5s states (conduction bands) and the As-4p (valence bands), with band crossing along the $\Gamma - Z$ direction[11].

On the other hand, a distorted spinel structure such as $ZnBiSiO_4$ has a single Dirac cone at T point[33]. The states near the Fermi surface are dominated by p-like states of the Bismuth atoms so for the tunneling amplitudes we expect $t_- \approx t_+$. Again m is zero and in an undoped ($\mu = 0$) sample

at zero temperature, as can be seen from table IV, only Δ_0, Δ_5 and Δ_{50} will be non-vanishing. Since we expect $t_- \approx t_+$ the dominant superconducting orders are expected to be Δ_0 (time-like four-vector, spin-singlet, even-frequency) and Δ_5 (pseudo-scaler, spin-singlet). The subleading term at second order perturbation theory will be Δ_{50} which is a time-like pseudo-vector, spin-singlet and even-frequency superconductivity. If the s3DDM can be doped, in the regime of heavy doping when the μ is dominant energy scale, for the case of $t_+ = t_-$ that Δ_{50} vanishes, there will be Δ_0 (time-like four-vector) and Δ_5 (pseudo-scalar) superconductivity that behave like $\sim \mu^2$. Then in the next order of μ the Δ_{ij} portion of the tensorial superconducting order appears which behaves like an axial vector (spin-triplet, even-frequency, odd-parity).

V. SUMMARY

In this paper we have studied the induction of superconductivity from a conventional BCS superconductor to a 3DDM for two situations where the Dirac material may have a single Dirac cone or double Dirac cones. First of all we make sure that the Nambu spinor is constructed from electron and "holes" that are precise charge conjugation of the Dirac operator. Moreover for the superconducting 3DDM we ensure the Lorentz covariance of the formulation, which essentially means being careful to use $\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$ instead of simply $\psi^\dagger$ routinely used for non-Dirac condensed matter. This gives the peculiar arrangements of the pairing amplitudes as in Eq. (28).

For the most general form of tunneling matrix elements consistent with symmetries, and allowing for non-equal tunneling into valence and conduction states which is motivated by the d3DDM materials at hand, we find that because of the peculiar structure of the Dirac γ matrices satisfying the Clifford algebra, to all orders in perturbation theory, only the $\ell = 0$ (s-wave) and $\ell = 1$ (p-wave) orbital angular momenta are possible, and higher angular momentum combination of the components of the vector $\vec{k}$ can never be generated by higher orders of tunneling. For the special case where $t_+ = t_-$ we showed that all higher order tunneling corrections identically vanish, and the lowest order result is essentially exact. Focusing on the explicit calculation of the induced superconductivity in the second order perturbation theory, the resulting expression for the superconducting matrix when decomposed as in Eq. (26) into various channels with definite transformation properties under the Lorentz transformation would give rise to a zoo of scalar, pseudo-scalar, four-vector, pseudo-four-vector, and tensorial superconducting order parameters. In the case of s3DDM the tensorial component involving 6 parameters breaks into two pieces each transforming like a three-vector or three-axial-vector. The four-vector induced superconductivity in s3DDM is time like, spin-singlet, and s-wave which implies that it must be even-frequency pairing. In d3DDM in contrast the temporal component of the four-vector superconducting order is spin-singlet, p-wave and hence odd-frequency. In addition it acquires a z component with even-parity and triplet total spin which will be again odd frequency.

The non-zero tensorial components in the d3DDM are dictated by presence of a preferred z direction and in contrast to the s3DDM the tensorial components are s-wave, and turn out to be spin-triplet which implies that the tensorial induced superconductivity in d3DDM is odd-frequency as well. Therefore the four-vector and tensor superconductivity induced into d3DDM is odd-frequency. Moreover in d3DDM no superconducting order proportional to unit matrix can be found, which implies that the induced superconductivity in d3DDM is by definition unconventional. In the case of s3DDM this scalar superconductivity is controlled by the product $m\mu$ and hence for gapless s3DDM at any μ or for particle-hole symmetric band with any-gap again the scalar superconductivity vanishes. Therefore the 3DDMs provide a very interesting playground for unconventional induced superconductivity in terms of pseudo-scalar or pseudo-vector, odd-frequency, and tensorial character. Even the familiar vector superconducting order parameter in 3DDM can be further classified into time-like and space-like vector superconducting orders. Understanding the interplay between various such orders and their experimental consequences[35] requires further investigation.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

SAJ was supported by the Alexander von Humboldt fellowship for experienced researchers. ZF was supported by the research vice chancellor of Sharif University of Technology. We thank J. König and S. Weiss for useful discussions on odd-frequency pairing.

* Email: zahra.faraei@gmail.com
† E-mail: akbar.jafari@gmail.com

[1] A. Zee, *Quantum field theory in a nutshell*, Princeton Univ. Press, 2010.
[2] T. O. Wehling, A. M. Black-Schaffer and A. V. Balatsky, Adv. Phys. **63** (2014) 1.
[3] A. H. Castro Neto, F. Guinea, N. M. R. Peres, K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81** (2009) 109.
[4] Shun-Qing Shen, Topological insulators: Dirac equation in condensed matter, Springer, 2012.
[5] N. Tajima, S. Sugawara, M. Tamura, Y. Nishio, K. Kajita, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **75** (2006) 051010.
[6] S. Katayama, A. Kobayashi, Y. Suzumura, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **75** (2006) 054705.
[7] Z. K. Liu, B. Zhou, Y. Zhang, Z. J. Wang, H. M. Weng, D. Prabhakaran, S.-K. Mo, Z. X. Shen, Z. Fang, X. Dai, Z. Hussain, Y. L. Chen, Science **343** (2014) 864.
[8] Su-Yang Xu, Chang Liu, S. K. Kushwaha, T.-R. Chang, J. W. Krizan, R. Sankar, C. M. Polley, J. Adell, T. Balasubramanian, K. Miyamoto, N. Alidoust, Guang Bian, M. Neupane, I. Belopolski,

H.-T. Jeng, C.-Y. Huang, W.-F. Tsai, H. Lin, F. C. Chou, T. Okuda, A. Bansil, R. J. Cava, and M. Z. Hasan, arxive: 1312.7624 (2013).
[9] Zh. Wang, Y. Sun, X. Q. Chen, C. Franchini, G. Xu, H. Weng, X. Dai, and Zh. Fang Phys. Rev. B **85** (2012) 195320.
[10] Z. K. Liu, J. Jiang, B. Zhou, Z. J. Wang, Y. Zhang, H. M. Weng, D. Prabhakaran, S-K. Mo, H. Peng, P. Dudin, T. Kim, M. Hoesch, Z. Fang, X. Dai, Z. X. Shen, D. L. Feng, Z. Hussain and Y. L. Chen, Nat. Mater. **13** (2014) 677.
[11] Zh. Wang, H. Weng, Q. Wu, X. Dai, and Zh. Fang, Phys. Rev. B **88** (2013) 125427.
[12] S. Borisenko, Q. Gibson, D. Evtushinsky, V. Zabolotnyy, B. Büchner and R. J. Cava, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113** (2014) 027603.
[13] M. Neupane, S. Xu, R. Sankar, N. Alidoust, G. Bian, C. Liu, I. Belopolski, T. Chang, H. Jeng, H. Lin, A. Bansil, F. Chou, and M. Z. Hassan, Nat. Commn. **5** (2014) 3786.
[14] Y. Fuseya, M. Ogata, H. Fukuyama, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102** (2009) 066601.
[15] For a review see: Y. Fuseya, M. Ogata, H. Fukuyama, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **84** (2015) 012001.
[16] S. M. Young, S. Zaheer, J. C. Y. Teo, C. L. Kane, E. J. Mele and A. M. Rappe,Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** (2012) 140405.
[17] S. Xu, I. Belopolski, N. Alidoust, M. Neupane, G. Bian, C. Zhang, R. Sankar, G. Chang, Z. Yuan, C. Lee, S. Huang, H. Zheng, J. Ma, D. S. Sanchez, B. Wang, A. Bansil, F. Chou, P. P. Shibayev, H. Lin, S. Jia and M. Z. Hasan, Science **349** (2015) 613.
[18] B.Q. Lv, H. M. Weng, B.B. Fu, X.P. Wang, H. Miao, J. Ma, P. Richard, X.C. Huang, L.X. Zhao, G.F. Chen, Z. Fang, X. Dai, T. Qian, and H. Ding, Phys. Rev. X **5** (2015) 031013.
[19] C. Fang, M. J. Gilbert, X. Dai, and B. A. Bernevig, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** (2012) 266802.
[20] M. Salehi, S. A. Jafari, Ann. Phys. **359** (2015) 64.
[21] L. Fu and C. L. Kane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100** (2008) 096407.
[22] B. -J. Yang, N. Nagaosa, Nat. Commun. **5** (2014) 4898.
[23] B. Sothmann, S. Weiss, M. Governale, J. König, Phys. Rev. B **90** (2014) 22051(R).
[24] A. M. Black-Schaffer and A. V. Balatsky, Phys. Rev. B **86** (2012) 144506.
[25] G. Morandi, P. Sodano, A. Tagliacozzo, V. Tognetti, *Field Theories for Low-Dimensional Condensed Matter Systems*, Springer, 2000. See chapter 6.
[26] P. A. Wolff, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **25** (1964) 1057.
[27] Note the convention chosen in Ref. [1] differs from the present Wolff Hamiltonian in $\vec{\gamma} = \tau_2 \otimes \vec{i\sigma}$ which differs from ours by merely a rotation around z axis. Although the form of various matrices such as M used in charge conjugation may depend on the representation, the physics when expressed in covariant form will not depend on the representation.
[28] Obviously for spinful situation it is followed by a $i\sigma_y$ matrix multiplication for the spin components.
[29] C. W. J. Beenakker, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97** (2006) 067007.
[30] G. S. Jenkins, C. Lane, B. Barbiellini, A. B. Sushkov, R. L. Carey, F. Liu, J. W. Krizan, S. K. Kushwaha, Q. Gibson, Tay-Rong Chang, Horng-Tay Jeng, Hsin Lin, R. J. Cava, A. Bansil, and H. D. Drew, Phys. Rev. B **94** (2016) 085121.
[31] P. Goswami, B. Roy, arxiv:1211.4023 (2012).
[32] W. Belzig, F. K. Wilhelm, C. Bruder, G. Schon, A. D. Zaikin, Superlatt. Microstruct. **25** (1999) 1251.
[33] J. A. Steinberg, S. M. Young, S. Zaheer, C. L. Kane, E. J. Mele, and A. M. Rappe, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112** (2014) 036403.
[34] M. Salehi, S. A. Jafari, arxiv:1611.03122 (2016).
[35] Y. Nagai, Y. Ota, and M. Machida, Phys. Rev. B **92** (2015) 180502(R).